

AN ALTERNATIVE DEFINITION OF THE COMPLETION OF METRIC SPACES

HAO CHENG

ABSTRACT. In this article, the author proposes another way to define the completion of a metric space, which is different from the classical one via the dense property, and prove the equivalence between two definitions. This definition is based on considerations from category theory, and can be generalized to arbitrary categories.

1. INTRODUCTION

In Definition 1.2.4 of [1], Zhang and Lin gave out the notion of a completion of a metric space as follows.

Definition 1. A metric space Y is called a *completion space* of a given metric space X , if it is the smallest complete metric space containing X . Here the smallest means that every metric space containing X contains Y as its subspace.

Remark. A metric space (X_1, ρ_1) is said to be (isometrically) embedded in a metric space (X_2, ρ_2) , if (X_1, ρ_1) is isometrically isomorphic to a subspace, which is endowed with the induced metric, of (X_2, ρ_2) . Under this interpretation, we regard (X_1, ρ_1) itself a subspace of (X_2, ρ_2) ; see [1, p. 10].

However, the completion space under this definition is not unique, i. e., there exists two spaces that are both completion spaces of a given space but are not isometrically isomorphic. Nevertheless, it is well known that if we alter the requirement of Y being the “smallest” by demanding X to be dense in Y , then the completion space is unique in the sense of isometric isomorphism. Thus, we have the following definition; see [2, p. 74].

Definition 1*. A metric space Y is called a *completion space* of a metric space X , if Y is complete and X is dense in Y .

In this article, we amend Definition 1 to be well-defined and equivalent to Definition 1*, and show that it fits itself well in the language of categories.

2. PRELIMINARIES IN CATEGORY THEORY

In mathematics, category theory [3] deals in an abstract way with mathematical structures and relationships between them.

Definition 2. A *category* consists of

- (i) a class of *objects*, and

Key words and phrases. metric spaces, category theory, completion.

The author wishes to express his sincerest thanks to Professor Dachun Yang, whose suggestions and instructions made this article possible, and to Dr. Wen Yuan for his selfless helps.

(ii) for any two objects A and B , a set $\text{Hom}(A, B)$ of *morphisms* from A to B such that the following axioms hold.

- **Composition:** if $f : A \rightarrow B$, $g : B \rightarrow C$ are two morphisms, then the *composite morphism* $g \circ f : A \rightarrow C$ is defined;
- **Associativity:** if $f : A \rightarrow B$, $g : B \rightarrow C$, $h : C \rightarrow D$ are morphisms, then $h \circ (g \circ f) = (h \circ g) \circ f$;
- **Identity:** for every object X , there exists a morphism $1_X : X \rightarrow X$ called the *identity morphism* for X , such that for every morphism $f : A \rightarrow B$, we have $1_B \circ f = f = f \circ 1_A$.

There are abundant examples of categories, ranging from algebra to geometry, and also to analysis. As illustrations, all groups (rings, fields) as objects and group (ring, field) homomorphisms as morphisms form the category of groups (rings, fields); all vector spaces over a fixed field K as objects and K -linear transformations as morphisms form the category of K -vector spaces; and all differentiable manifolds (topological spaces) being objects, and smooth maps (continuous maps) between them the morphisms, form the category of differentiable manifolds (topological spaces).

It is easy to verify that all metric spaces together with isometries between them form a category, where metric spaces serves as objects and isometries as morphisms. This category is called the *category of metric spaces*. An isometry here means a map $\varphi : (X, \rho_X) \rightarrow (Y, \rho_Y)$, such that for any $x, x' \in X$, $\rho_X(x, x') = \rho_Y(\varphi(x), \varphi(x'))$ holds. Notice that a metric space X is a subspace of Y if and only if there exists a morphism from X to Y .

3. THE DEFINITION OF COMPLETION AND ITS GENERALIZATION

Now, we can define the completion of a metric space according to the algebraic structures – morphisms between objects – on the category of metric spaces:

Definition 1.** A complete metric space $(Y, \rho_Y; \varphi_Y)$ containing a given metric space (X, ρ_X) , where φ_Y is the morphism from X to Y , is called a *completion space* of (X, ρ_X) , if for every complete metric space $(Z, \rho_Z; \varphi_Z)$ containing (X, ρ_X) , φ_Z being the morphism from X to Z , there exists a morphism φ from (Y, ρ_Y) to (Z, ρ_Z) such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (Y, \rho_Y) & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & (Z, \rho_Z) \\ \varphi_Y \uparrow & \nearrow \varphi_Z & \\ (X, \rho_X) & & \end{array}$$

commutes, i.e. for all $x \in X$, $\varphi_Z(x) = \varphi \circ \varphi_Y(x)$ holds.

To see that Definition 1** is well-defined and coincides with Definition 1*, we have the following theorem, which is also the main result of this paper.

Theorem 1. Let (X, ρ_X) be a metric space. If $(Y, \rho_Y; \varphi_Y)$ is a complete metric space containing (X, ρ_X) as its subspace, where φ_Y is the embedding map, then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) (X, ρ_X) is isometric to a dense subspace of (Y, ρ_Y) , or more precisely, $\varphi_Y(X)$ is dense in (Y, ρ_Y) ;

- (2) For every complete metric space $(Z, \rho_Z; \varphi_Z)$ containing (X, ρ_X) , φ_Z the embedding map, there exists an embedding φ from (Y, ρ_Y) to (Z, ρ_Z) such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & (Y, \rho_Y) & \\ \varphi_Y \nearrow & & \searrow \varphi \\ (X, \rho_X) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_Z} & (Z, \rho_Z) \end{array}$$

Next section will be devoted to the proof of this theorem.

From this theorem, we see that the completion space of a metric space in Definition 1* satisfies the required properties of that in Definition 1** and vice versa, which shows that the two definitions are equivalent. The advantage of Definition 1** over Definition 1* is that Definition 1** can be generalized to arbitrary categories, using commutative diagrams: Let $\mathbf{C}$ be a category, P a property, and $\mathbf{S} = \{X \in \mathbf{C} \mid X \text{ has property } P\}$ be the subset containing all elements satisfying P . If for any object A in $\mathbf{S}$, the only 1-1 morphism from A to itself is the identity map, then we can define the P -tion of any object in $\mathbf{C}$ as follows.

Definition 3. Let X be an object in $\mathbf{C}$, Y an object containing X , i.e. there exists a 1-1 morphism f_Y from X to Y . Y is called an P -tion of X , if $Y \in \mathbf{S}$ and for any $Z \in \mathbf{S}$ containing X , f_Z a 1-1 morphism from X to Z , there exists a 1-1 morphism f from Y to Z , such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xrightarrow{f} & Z \\ f_Y \uparrow & & \nearrow f_Z \\ X & & \end{array}$$

commutes.

Remark. In the category of metric spaces, since all morphisms are isometric embeddings, there are automatically 1-1 by the property of isometries.

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

Proof of Theorem 1. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2): Suppose that (Z, ρ_Z) is a complete metric space containing (X, ρ_X) and φ_Z denotes the embedding map from (X, ρ_X) to (Z, ρ_Z) . For every $y \in (Y, \rho_Y)$, since $\varphi_Y(X)$ is dense in (Y, ρ_Y) , there exists a sequence

$$\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset (X, \rho_X)$$

such that $\varphi_Y(x_n) \rightarrow y$ in (Y, ρ_Y) as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By the fact that both φ_Y and φ_Z are embedding, i.e. they preserve metrics, then both $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{\varphi_Z(x_n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ are Cauchy sequences in corresponding spaces. By assumption, (Z, ρ_Z) is complete, then there exists a $z \in (Z, \rho_Z)$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_Z(x_n) = z.$$

Define

$$\varphi : (Y, \rho_Y) \longrightarrow (Z, \rho_Z), \quad \varphi(y) \equiv z,$$

where $z = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_Z(x_n)$ is determined as above. Now we verify that φ is well-defined (i.e. independent of the choice of $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$) and satisfies the desired demand.

(i) Suppose that $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ and $\{x'_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ are two sequences in (X, ρ_X) , both $\{\varphi_Y(x_n)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ and $\{\varphi_Z(x'_n)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ tend to $y \in Y$. Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho_X(x_n, x'_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho_Y(\varphi_Y(x_n), \varphi_Y(x'_n)) = \rho_Y(y, y) = 0,$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho_Z(\varphi_Z(x_n), \varphi_Z(x'_n)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho_X(x_n, x'_n) = 0,$$

which implies

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_Z(x_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_Z(x'_n).$$

Therefore, φ is well-defined.

(ii) φ is an isometry. For any $y, y' \in (Y, \rho_Y)$, there exist sequences $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ and $\{x'_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ in (X, ρ_X) such that $y = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_Y(x_n)$ and $y' = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_Y(x'_n)$. By definition, $\varphi(y) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_Z(x_n)$ and $\varphi(y') = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_Z(x'_n)$. Since φ_Y and φ_Z are both isometries, and the limit operation commutes with distance functions, so we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_Z(\varphi(y), \varphi(y')) &= \rho_Z\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_Z(x_n), \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_Z(x'_n)\right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho_Z(\varphi_Z(x_n), \varphi_Z(x'_n)) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho_X(x_n, x'_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho_Y(\varphi_Y(x_n), \varphi_Y(x'_n)) \\ &= \rho_Y\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_Y(x_n), \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_Y(x'_n)\right) \\ &= \rho_Y(y, y'). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, φ is an isometry.

(iii) For every $y = \varphi_Y(x) \in \varphi_Y(X)$, let $x_n \equiv x$ for all natural numbers n . Then

$$\varphi(y) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_Z(x_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_Z(x) = \varphi_Z(x).$$

Hence, for all $x \in X$, $\varphi(\varphi_Y(x)) = \varphi_Z(x)$, and then, the diagram in (2) commutes.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1): Consider $Z \equiv \overline{\varphi_Y(X)}$, the closure of $\varphi_Y(X)$ in (Y, ρ_Y) . Since (Y, ρ_Y) is complete and Z is closed in (Y, ρ_Y) , we obtain that (Z, ρ_Z) is also complete, where ρ_Z is the metric induced from ρ_Y . By assumption, there exists an embedding φ from (Y, ρ_Y) to $(Z = \overline{\varphi_Y(X)}, \rho_Z)$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & (Y, \rho_Y) & \\ \varphi_Y \nearrow & & \searrow \varphi \\ (X, \rho_X) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_Y} & (Z = \overline{\varphi_Y(X)}, \rho_Z) \end{array}$$

commutes, i.e., $\varphi|_{\varphi_Y(X)} = id$. For every $y \in Y$, $\varphi(y)$ belongs to $\overline{\varphi_Y(X)}$. Thus, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a $x' \in X$ such that

$$\rho_Z(\varphi(y), \varphi_Y(x')) < \varepsilon,$$

Since both φ and φ_Y preserve metric, and $\varphi|_{\varphi_Y(X)} = id$, we have

$$\rho_Y(y, \varphi_Y(x')) = \rho_Z(\varphi(y), \varphi(\varphi_Y(x'))) = \rho_Z(\varphi(y), \varphi_Y(x')) < \varepsilon.$$

Thus, $\varphi_Y(X)$ is dense in Y , which completes the proof of Theorem 1.

□

REFERENCES

- [1] Zhang G.Q. and Lin Y.Q., *Lecture Notes on Functional Analysis* (in Chinese), Peking University Press, Beijing, 2006.
- [2] Walter Rudin, *Real and Complex Analysis, 3rd Edition*, McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., New York, 1987.
- [3] Steve Awodey, *Category Theory*, Oxford University Press, New York, 2006.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, BEIJING NORMAL UNIVERSITY, BEIJING 100875, PEOPLE'S
REPUBLIC OF CHINA

E-mail address: haocheng05@mail.bnu.edu.cn